

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/523,912	03/09/2000	Raymond W. Cohen	3896-006	5945
22440	7590 01/13/200	5	EXAMINER	
GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
270 MADIS 8TH FLOOR	ON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	EW YORK, NY 100160601		3762	

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
Advisory Action	09/523,912	COHEN ET AL.	<i>h</i> ()			
navious y nous.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark W Bockelman	3762				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cov r sh et with the c	orrespond nce add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 October 2004</u>. 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF) 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	·		٠.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. ☐ Other:						
· ·		Mark Bockel Panary Exam	Man Iner			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The substantial amendments to claims 1, 9 and 18 include additions that have never been considered before and thus would require further consideration and search on behalf of the examiner.

Mark ECCKELMAN MARK ECCKELMAN